

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. Kazuya Sayanagi 10/10/2001 P/1071-1451 7481 09/974,668 **EXAMINER** 32172 7590 03/09/2004 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP JONES, STEPHEN E 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE) **ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER** 41 ST FL. NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714 2817

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary Og/974,668 SAYANAGI ET AL		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnet): (1) Stephen E. Jones	Interview Summary	09/974,668	SAYANAGI ET AL.	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Stephen E. Jones. (3)		Examiner	Art Unit	
(1) Stephen E. Jones. (2) Richard LaCava. 41.135. Date of Interview: 03 March 2004. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 10. Identification of prior art discussed: Warneke et al. of record and the admitted prior art. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed potential amendments of claims 1 and 10 including the differences between the combination of admitted prior art Fig. 11 with Warneke as compared to the present invention. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		Stephen E. Jones	2817	
Date of Interview: 03 March 2004. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 10. Identification of prior art discussed: Warneke et al. of record and the admitted prior art. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed potential amendments of claims 1 and 10 including the differences between the combination of admitted prior art Fig. 11 with Warneke as compared to the present invention. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable; if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
Date of Interview: 03 March 2004. Type: a) □ Telephonic b) □ Video Conference c) □ Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) □ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes e) □ No. If Yes, brief description: □ Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 10. Identification of prior art discussed: Warneke et al. of record and the admitted prior art. Agreement with respect to the claims f) □ was reached. g) □ was not reached. h) □ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed potential amendments of claims 1 and 10 including the differences between the combination of admitted prior art Fig. 11 with Warneke as compared to the present invention. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH's INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	(1) <u>Stephen E. Jones</u> .	(3)	•	
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 10. Identification of prior art discussed: Warneke et al. of record and the admitted prior art. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed potential amendments of claims 1 and 10 including the differences between the combination of admitted prior art Fig. 11 with Warneke as compared to the present invention. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been flied, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	(2) <u>Richard LaCava, 41,135</u> .	(4)		
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 10. Identification of prior art discussed: Warneke et al. of record and the admitted prior art. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed potential amendments of claims 1 and 10 including the differences between the combination of admitted prior art Fig. 11 with Warneke as compared to the present invention. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Date of Interview: 03 March 2004.			
If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 10. Identification of prior art discussed: Warneke et al. of record and the admitted prior art. Agreement with respect to the claims fi was reached. 9 was not reached. hi NA. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed potential amendments of claims 1 and 10 including the differences between the combination of admitted prior art Fig. 11 with Warneke as compared to the present invention. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
Identification of prior art discussed: Warneke et al. of record and the admitted prior art. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed potential amendments of claims 1 and 10 including the differences between the combination of admitted prior art Fig. 11 with Warneke as compared to the present invention. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	·—			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed potential amendments of claims 1 and 10 including the differences between the combination of admitted prior art Fig. 11 with Warneke as compared to the present invention. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 10</u> .			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed potential amendments of claims 1 and 10 including the differences between the combination of admitted prior art Fig. 11 with Warneke as compared to the present invention.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Identification of prior art discussed: Warneke et al. of record and the admitted prior art.			
reached, or any other comments: Discussed potential amendments of claims 1 and 10 including the differences between the combination of admitted prior art Fig. 11 with Warneke as compared to the present invention. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.			
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	reached, or any other comments: Discussed potential amendments of claims 1 and 10 including the differences			
INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims			
	INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See			
l, l e , l		<i>D</i>		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.